

Name: .....

Student ID#: .....

**Statistical Pattern Recognition (CE-725)  
Department of Computer Engineering  
Mini Exam #1 (SVM & Kernel) - Spring 2012**

1. (15 points) Prove that  $K(x,x') = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x-x'\|^2}{c}\right)$  is a valid kernel. You can only use the following given identities:

If  $k_1(x,x')$  and  $k_2(x,x')$  are valid kernels, then the following  $k(x,x')$ s are also valid kernels:

1.  $k(x,x') = ck_1(x,x')$
2.  $k(x,x') = k_1(x,x') + k_2(x,x')$
3.  $k(x,x') = k_1(x,x') \cdot k_2(x,x')$
4.  $k(x,x') = \exp(k_1(x,x'))$
5.  $k(x,x') = f(x) k_1(x,x') f(x')$

**Sol:**

$$\begin{aligned} k(x,x') &= \exp\left(-\frac{\|x-x'\|^2}{c}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{-\|x\|^2}{c} + \frac{-\|x'\|^2}{c} + \frac{2x^T x'}{c}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{-\|x\|^2}{c}\right) \exp\left(\frac{2x^T x'}{c}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-\|x'\|^2}{c}\right) \\ &= f(x) \exp\left(\frac{2}{c} x^T x'\right) f(x') \end{aligned}$$

$x^T x'$  is a valid kernel. Then, using rules 1, 4 and 5, the given kernel will also be valid.

2. (15 points) Consider the training points as below:

$$C_1 = \{ (1,3)^T, (1,4)^T, (2,2)^T \}$$

$$C_2 = \{ (4,0)^T, (5,0)^T, (6,1)^T \}$$

Plot data points and draw the large margin classifier that SVM finds visually. Then without explicitly solving the optimization problem, determine support vectors and  $\lambda_i$ s for each training points.


**Sol:**

SVs:  $(4,0)^T, (2,2)^T$ .

$$\sum \lambda_i y_i = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$$

$$w = \sum \lambda_i y_i x_i = \lambda_1 (2,2) - \lambda_2 (4,0) = (-2\lambda_1, 2\lambda_2)$$

$$SVs: \begin{cases} w(4,0) + w_0 = -1 \\ w(2,2) + w_0 = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} -8\lambda_1 + w_0 = -1 \\ w_0 = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{4}, w = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), w_0 = 1$$



**3. (15 points)** Suppose that you are given n-dimensional real-valued feature vectors. You are thinking of using as kernel a function that counts in how many places both  $x$  and  $x'$  are greater than some constant threshold  $\theta$ . In other words, let:

$$\delta_{\theta}(a,b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a > \theta \ \& \ b > \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then the function defined by  $k_{\theta}(x,x') = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\theta}(x_j, x'_j)$  and  $x_j$  denotes  $j^{\text{th}}$  component of  $x$ . Show that this function is a valid kernel.

**Sol:**

To show validity of given kernel, it is enough to find its corresponding  $\phi(x)$ . We have,

$$\phi(x) = I(x > \theta), \text{ or } \phi_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x_i > \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \Rightarrow k(x,x') = \phi^T(x)\phi(x')$$

**4. (20 points)** After mapping into higher dimensional feature space, through a radial basis (Gaussian) kernel function, is 1-NN using unweighted Euclidean distance able to achieve better classification performance than in the original space?

**Sol:**

Suppose that  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are two neighbors for the test instance such that  $\|x - x_i\| < \|x - x_j\|$ . After mapped to feature space, we have,

$$\|\phi(x) - \phi(x_i)\|^2 = 2 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|x - x_i\|^2\right) < 2 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|x - x_j\|^2\right) = \|\phi(x) - \phi(x_j)\|^2$$

So, if  $x_i$  is the nearest neighbor of  $x$  in the original space, it will also be the nearest neighbor of  $x$  in the original space. Therefore, the result of 1-NN in the new space is the same as the result in the original space.

**5. (15 points)** Suppose we run SVM on samples with features  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  i.e.  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ , and obtain the boundary. Then, we add a random feature  $x_{n+1}$  to feature vectors. How does the boundary changes by adding this feature?

**Sol:**



SVM will automatically ignore this feature because it cannot possibly increase the margin.

**6. (20 points)** Consider the following classification problem. We will use soft margin linear SVM for this problem. Use the following figures to show where the decision boundaries are likely to be for  $c=0.05$  (in the left figure) and  $c=10000$  (in the right figure), respectively. Don't solve the problem explicitly; just give a brief justification for each case.



**Sol:**

Small  $c$  results in small penalties. Then, focus will be on maximizing the margin. Large



$c$  leads to large penalties. Then, focus will be on getting all points outside the margins.